

# Search for nearby short gamma-ray bursts with the Neil Gehrels Swift observatory



Simone Dichiara, Eleonora Troja, Brendan O'Connor, F. E. Marshall, P. Beniamini, A. Y. Lien, T. Sakamoto



Short GRBs are brief flashes of gamma-rays radiation detected by low orbit satellites with a rate of about 1-2 per week

<u>Typical duration</u>: less than 2 seconds

Spectrum: typically hard (e.g. high peak energy)

<u>Possible progenitor</u>: merger of compact objects (e.g. **binary neutron stars** or **black hole-neutron star merger**)

#### Associated signals: Gravitational waves & Kilonovas. GW signals are detectable for nearby events (e.g. <200 Mpc)







Kilonova AT2017gfo

GW signal



The first common detection of a short GRB together with a gravitational wave signal (**GRB170817A/GW170817**) revealed the presence of a population of nearby events (< 200 Mpc) observed off-axis.

## Search of off-axis short GRBs in the Swift sample

We select all the GRBs detected by Swift/BAT from January 1, 2005 to January 1, 2019 that satisfy the following criteria:

- Short duration (T90 < 1 second)</p>
- Lack of an afterglow detection (as observed for off-axis short GRBs: faint afterglow and late onset)

# Total selected sample: 32 short GRBs

The X-ray limits are fainter than the average population (Fig.1).

➤ This is consistent with off-axis explosions or on-axis GRBs in a tenuous environment (n < 4 x 10<sup>-3</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> for ε<sub>B</sub> > 10<sup>-4</sup>)

### Host galaxy search

The BAT GRB refined positions [4] (90% error region) were cross-correlated with the GLADE v2.3 galaxy catalogue [5]

**four possible matches** for distance ≤ 200 Mpc

higher than the rate expected from chance alignments (~3%) possible physical connection with these bursts



Figure 2: the four putative local host galaxies that match our sample. GRB 080121 has two possible associations (G1 and G2) at similar distance (~200 Mpc).

#### **Optical limits**

We analyzed archival afterglow observations deriving flux upper limits inside the galaxies and in the image fields

Figure 3: Upper limits derived in the r-band for the afterglow of the four possible local GRBs. Downward triangles and (p arrows show the limits derived in the internal and external region of the galaxy, respectively. GRB Σ 050906 limits are The retrieved from [6]. AT2017gfo light curve is displayed by the solid line. Dotted line shows the emission expected for viewing angle of 60°



Assuming that they are the actual host galaxies of these short GRBs:

 Kilonova constraints for events viewed toward their polar regions (e.g. lanthanide-poor ejecta).
Ejecta mass and velocity:

$$M_{ej} \le 10^{-3} M_{\odot} \text{ for } v_{ej} \ge 0.2c$$

- Our constraints are less tight in the internal region of the galaxies.
- We can not exclude emission observed at high latitude (e.g. lanthanide-rich)

## Rate of local short GRBs



- We do not find evidence for a large population of sGRBs in the local universe (d<200 Mpc)</li>
- 4 candidates (12.5% ot the total sample) with at least one local galaxy inside the 90% BAT error region.
- Assuming they are **local**:
  - the all-sky rate R~160 Gpc<sup>-3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (it is comparable with the population of BNS mergers [8])
  - the rate of local sGRBs detectable by Swift and Fermi is:

 $R_{swift} = 0.16^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$   $R_{Fermi} = 0.8^{+1.0}_{-0.5} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (d<200 Mpc) An optimization of the follow-up strategies and a

In no case we find evidence of a 170817-like kilonova although we can not constrain the presence of a possible red kilonova (lanthanide-rich) due to the lack of IR limits

- if they are **cosmological**:
  - we derive an upper limit for the rate of R<180 Gpc<sup>-3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>
  - the lack of X-ray afterglow could be explained by tenuous environment

c) An optimization of the follow-up strategies and a systematic search for untriggered bursts could be crucial to increase the detection rate of local events.

#### References

Metzger. 2018, LRR, 23, 1; [2] Troja, et al. 2018, Nature Com., 9, 4089; [3] Troja, et al. 2019, MNRAS, 489, 1919; [4] Lien et al. 2016, ApJ, 829, 7; [5] Dálya et al. 2018, MNRAS, 479, 2374;
Levan et al. 2008, MNRAS, 384, 541; [7] Wanderman & Piran 2015, MNRAS, 448, 3026; [8] Abbott et al. 2019, PRX, 9, 031040